C.S. 1001 SINGLE BENCH

(1)

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.5796 /2005

PETITIONER

Chhabilal Patel, aged about 48 years, son of Late Shri Anjore Singh Patel, occupation – Service, Sub Divisional Officer, Water Resources Department, Sub Division – Abhanpur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

5936/05 P.P. No. Presented on 16/11/05 dated A.N. Bhakta

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : Its Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Avar Sachiv, Department of Water Resources, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Water Resources, Sihava Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Executive Engineer, Water Management Division No. 1 Raipur, (C.G.)

RECEIVER-COPY 16/11/05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

16/11/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

The Petitioner respectfully submits as under:-

XI-HC-22

18

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.S. 5796/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

9-12-2005

Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State, on advance copy.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred as per Annexure P-1 dated 9-11-2005 during the ban period. He submits that for the present he may be given an opportunity to make a representation before the respondents.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order within 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel further submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and he may be allowed to continue till the decision of the representation.

It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No. 5796/2005 and I.A. No. 9701/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पाठ दाखय